

LIST OF COURT CASES ATTENDED IN RECENT YEARS

CASE 1: Leman's Corp (Plaintiff) Vs United States (Defendants). The case relates to disputed import duties on protective motor cycle apparel imported from Asia - Worked for Defendants.

Attorney: Alexander Vanderweide; Civil Division Trial Attorney, US Dept. of Justice

CASE 2: State of Georgia (Plaintiff) Vs Noberto Mojica (Defendant). This is a criminal case which involved fiber evidence – Worked for Plaintiff

Attorney: Jenny Lubinsky; State Attorney, State of Georgia

CASE 3: US Air Force (Plaintiff) Vs Derm Buro Inc. (Defendant). The case involved the failure during use of an anti-gravity suit worn by a fighter plane pilots – Worked for Defendant

Attorney: Deposition/court room testimony was not needed for this case. Worked mainly with the defendant.

CASE 4: Outside the Box Innovations (Plaintiff) Vs The Rooster Group (Defendants). The case dealt with patent violation claims of soft tool bags made with heavy duty fabric – Worked for the Defendant

Attorneys: Joel Myers; Myers & Kaplan Intellectual Property Law, P. C.

CASE 5: Jamie Bouchard (Plaintiff) Vs Outer Space Sports (Defendant) – Worked for the Plaintiff. The case argued about the improper design of a protective motor cycle jacket. The argument was that the jacket melted under frictional drag forces, causing third degree burn injuries to the wearer

Attorney: Tom Holbird; Tom Holbird, P. C.

CASE 6: R. C. Fabric Inc. (Plaintiff) Vs Hip and Hip Inc. (Defendant) – Worked for Plaintiff. This case argued about the failure to conform to specifications of certain imported sports-wear apparel

Attorney: Stephen Truppe; SBC Global, Inc.

CASE 7: Slaughter (Plaintiff) Vs Ford Motor Company (Defendant) – This case argued about short-comings in the seat-belt design which caused severe injury to the driver - Worked for Plaintiff

Attorneys: Warshauer; Warshauer, Thomas, Thornton and Rogers, P. C.

CASE 8: State of Georgia (Plaintiff) Vs Dale McKenzie (Defendant) --This case involved fiber evidence in support of a murder accusation. Worked for the plaintiff

Attorney: Ed Vaughn; State Attorney, State of Georgia

CASE 9: Geladon (Plaintiff) Vs Tube Tex Inc. (Defendant). This case argued about the inadequacy of the safety features of patented equipment that are expected to prevent injuries to the operators. Worked for plaintiff

Attorneys: Ronald Rodman; Friedman, Rodman and Frank P. A.

CASE 10: Angela Johnson (Plaintiff) Vs Jiffy Lube (Defendant). This case involved personal injury to the plaintiff caused by a slick surface. Worked for the plaintiff. Attorneys: Cash, Krugler & Fredericks, Atlanta, GA 30342. This case did not involve testimony or deposition. My role was limited to producing a test report on the oil stained clothing.

CASE 11: Clayton Miller (Plaintiff) Vs Universal fibers (Defendant). This case argued that the quality of the yarn supplied by the defendant differed from what has been agreed to in the initial contract. Worked for the plaintiff.

Attorneys: Coppedge & Evans, P. C., Dalton, GA 30720

Attorney: Coppedge, Jr.

Coppedge & Associates, P.C.

508 South Thornton Avenue

Dalton, GA 30720

Telephone: 706/226-0040

Facsimile: 706/226-0050

Email: Suzanne@coppedgefirm.com

Universal Fibers Vs Clayton Miller Carpets

Dispute involved quality problems of PET carpet yarn supplied by Universal Fibers. Analyzed sample yarn and commercial yarn to identify differences related to heat setting variations.

Dispute settled out of court in November 2013.

CASE 12: Walden (Plaintiff) Vs Children's Apparel, Inc. (Defendant). This case is a product liability suit related to fire safety of flame retardant treated clothing. Worked for the plaintiff. Attorneys: High Law Office, PLLC, Nashville, TN 37201.

Attorney: David E. High, Esq.

High Law Office PLLC

300 James Robertson Parkway

Court Square Building, 2nd Floor

Nashville, TN 37201

(615)256-1000

(615)256-1009 Fax

www.highlawoffice.com

CASE 13.

Attorney: J. Wrix McIlvaine

McILVAINE LAW GROUP

901 G Street

Brunswick, Georgia 31520

Tel: (912) 275-8014

Fax: (912) 275-8027

jwm@mcilvainelawgroup.com

www.mcilvainelawgroup.com

DOFS Case # 2012-6006149

Involved independent analysis of fiber evidence and flame retardancy and providing testimony in Camden County court located in Woodbine, GA.

Case 14.

Attorney: Sofia Jeong

Troutman Sanders LLP

600 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 5200

Atlanta, GA 30308, USA

Direct: 404-885-3678 | Fax: 404-962-6596

sofia.jeong@troutmansanders.com

Service Provided: Expert opinion on the classification of polyacrylate fiber. Analyzed the monomers and polymerization reaction to assess the composition of acrylonitrile groups in the fiber. Based on this analysis, I concluded that polyacrylate fiber cannot be classified in the category of “modacrylic” fibers.

Case 15.

Attorneys: Cynthia Lee and Eric Mauer



400 Interstate North Parkway SE

Suite 1500

Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5029

Phone: (770) 933-9500

Fax: (770) 951-0933

thomashorstemeyer.com

Heat Max Patent litigation – Provided expert services on behalf of Grabber, Inc, defendants in a patent related dispute involving cooling towels and raised cooling fabrics.

CASE 16:

Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP

Attorney: Robert Guites (Partner)

SAN FRANCISCO

Four Embarcadero Center

Seventeenth Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: 415.434.9100

Fax: 415.434.3947

Through IMS Expert Services, New York (www.ims-expertservices.com)

Litigation: Cascade Yarns (Defendant) Vs Knitting Fever (Plaintiff). Worked for the plaintiff

Dispute: Country of origin of hand knit yarns- Branded yarns that were marked as made in Spain and Italy are disputed as Chinese made yarns

CASE 17:

Coppedge & Evans, P. C., Dalton, GA 30720

Attorney: Coppedge, Jr.

Coppedge & Associates, P.C.

508 South Thornton Avenue

Dalton, GA 30720

Telephone: 706/226-0040

Facsimile: 706/226-0050

Worked for Plaintiff, Tai Pings Carpet

Dispute involved time bound variations in the quality and performance of cushioned backing materials manufactured under three different brand names using three different material compositions. I have analyzed the composition and certain performance characteristics of backing materials produced at different intervals.

CASE 18:

MBN Law, Inc.

William F. Jourdain, Attorney

745 College Drive

P.O. Box 2586

Dalton, GA 30722-2586

Phone: (706) 259-2586

The case focused on alleged violation of trade secrets associated with certain manufacturing technologies for rubber backed floor mats. I have assisted the defendant who is represented by MBN Law, Inc.

CASE 19:

Valdez Law Group, Inc.

Karen J. Evans, Attorney

Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (415) 202-5949

The case involved a dispute between an apparel supplier located in California and an apparel manufacturer located in one of the South American countries. The apparel supplier claimed compensation from the apparel manufacturer based on certain defects found in the finished apparel. The apparel manufacturer argued that the defects were present in the original fabric supplied by the apparel distributor. I have provided expert testimony in the case in front of an arbitration panel

CASE 20:

Carroll McNulty & Kull

Matthew J. Lodge / Joshua Wirtshfater

New Jersey, NJ 07920

908-848-6300

The case involves damage claims on several hundred fabric rolls stored in a warehouse which also housed other materials, including some fabric processing equipment. I am assisting the law firm which is representing the insurance company. I am analyzing fabric construction and estimating the dollar value of fabric contained by each roll. I am also determining if there is any damage to the surface layers of the fabric contained by each roll.

CASE 21:

Bryan Cave, LLP

Alexander Walden / Frank Fabiani

New York, NY 10104

212-541-2000

The case involves alleged patent infringement claims and counter claims for patent invalidation through *Inter Partes* review procedure. I am assisting the attorneys who represent the patent owners for performance pillows, engineered pillow covers and high performance mattresses.

CASE 22:

Hayden Willis Law, LLC

Hayden Willis

Moultrie, GA 31768

229-668-0379

This is an ongoing case between the state of Georgia and an individual who is being accused of murder and theft. The case involves fiber evidence which is being presented as possible support for the accusation. I am assisting the defense attorneys who are representing the individual who is being accused of the above charges.

CASE 23:

SheppardMullin

Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP

12275 El Camino Real, Suite 200

San Diego, CA 92130-2006

858.720.8900 | main

www.sheppardmullin.com

This case is focused on alleged violation of a patented design for a warp knit fabric. I am assisting the defendants to prove that there is no patent violation involved.

CASE 24:

Renner Otto

1621 Euclid Avenue, Floor 19

Cleveland, OH 44115 U.S.A.

216.621.1113

This case relates to the design of a limb encircling therapeutic compression device (a textile structure used for healing and therapeutic purposes). I am assisting the law firm which represents the defendants.

CASE 25:

James Robson

Pinkerton & Laws of Georgia Inc.

1165 Northeast Pkwy

Marietta, GA 30067

770-956-9000

This case is a civil action suit arising from a personal injury to an individual. I represented the plaintiff.